Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Packianathan Thomas Muthiah,^a* Balakrishnan Umadevi,^a Nithianantham Stanley,^a Xiugi Shui^b and Drake S Eggleston^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli 620 024, Tamil Nadu, India, and ^bSmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals, 709 Swedeland Road, King of Prussia, PA 19406, USA

Correspondence e-mail: tomm@bdu.ernet.in

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.036 wR factor = 0.092 Data-to-parameter ratio = 9.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2001 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Hydrogen bonding patterns in trimethoprim sulfate trihydrate [trimethoprim = 2,4-diamino-5-(3,4,5methoxybenzyl)pyrimidine]

Trimethoprim sulfate trihydrate, $2C_{14}H_{19}N_4O_3^+ \cdot SO_4^{2-} \cdot 3H_2O$ [(TMPH⁺)₂(SO₄)²⁻ · 3H₂O, TMPH⁺ = 2,4-diamino-5-(3,4,5methoxybenzyl)pyrimidin-1-ium], is an antibacterial agent. In its N1-protonated form, it inhibits the bacterial dihydrofolate reductase enzyme. The asymmetric unit contains two TMPH cations, a sulfate anion and three water molecules. The TMPH cations are each paired about their respective inversion centres *via* N-H···N hydrogen bonds. These pairs are further bridged by a network of hydrogen bonds involving the sulfate anion and water molecules. Both the TMPH cations make hydrogen bonds with water molecules through the 2amino groups, reminiscent of TMP-dihydrofolate reductase complexes. The pyrimidine plane makes a dihedral angle of 75.89 (8)° with the phenyl ring in one TMPH cation, the corresponding angle in the other moiety being 69.96 (8)°.

Comment

Dihydrofolate reductase enzyme (DHFR) reduces dihydrofolate to tetrahydrofolate. Tetrahydrofolate is involved in the synthesis of methionine, which is essential for the living organism. Trimethoprim (TMP) has greater affinity for bacterial DHFR than for human DHFR. Antifolate drugs complexed with DHFR from various sources have been widely studied (Feeney, 2000). The crystal structure of TMP and its complexes, *e.g.* TMP (Koetzle & Williams, 1976), TMP monobenzoate (Giuseppetti *et al.*, 1984), TMP monobenzoate–benzoic acid (Bettinetti *et al.*, 1985), TMP acetate (Bryan *et al.*, 1987), TMP sulfadimidine 1:1 (Bettinetti & Sardone, 1997) and 1:2 (Sardone *et al.*, 1997) complexes have been reported in the literature.

Hydrogen-bonding patterns involving sulfonate and sulfate groups in biological systems and metal complexes are of current interest (Onoda et al., 2001). In a sulfate-binding protein, the sulfate anion is bound mainly by seven hydrogen bonds. Five of them are from the main-chain peptide NH groups (Pflugrath & Quiocho, 1985; Pflugrath & Quiocho, 1988; Jacobson & Quiocho, 1988). The present study is aimed at understanding the conformation of TMP and the hydrogenbonding networks involving the sulfate anion, water molecules and TMP cations. As part of structural investigations on drugs and their complexes carried out in our laboratory, we have already reported the structures of trimethoprim formate (Umadevi & Muthiah, 1994), trimethoprim perchlorate (Umadevi & Muthiah, 2001), trimethoprim salicylate monohydrate (Murugesan & Muthiah, 1996), trimethoprim nitrate (Murugesan & Muthiah, 1997), the cadmium complex of TMP (Muthiah & Robert, 1999) and trimethoprim hydrogen maleate (Prabakaran et al., 2001).

Received 9 October 2001 Accepted 2 November 2001 Online 17 November 2001

organic papers

In the crystal structure of trimethoprim sulfate trihydrate (TMPS), (I), the asymmetric unit contains two TMPH cations, a sulfate anion and three water molecules. An *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976) diagram of the asymmetric unit with the atom-labelling scheme is shown in Fig. 1.



The sulfate ion is tetrahedral in shape, as expected; the S-O distances range from 1.449 (4) to 1.481 (2) Å. Both the TMPH cations are protonated at N1. The internal angles at N1, C2-N1-C6 and C2A-N1A-C6A have increased to 119.9 (8) and 120.3 (1)°, respectively, from 115.46° in neutral TMP. The bond lengths and angles involving the two crystallographically independent TMPH cations agree with one another and with other TMPH cations reported in the literature (Prabakaran et al., 2001). The conformation adopted by the trimethoprim moiety in this structure is described by the two torsion angles C4-C5-C7-C8 and C5-C7-C8-C9. In this structure, these torsion angles are -73.3(2) and 120.6 (5)°, respectively, for molecule A and -94.5 (2) and 158.3 (7)° for molecule B. These values are in the range reported for TMP monobenzoate (Giuseppetti et al., 1984) and TMP acetate (Bryan et al., 1987). The pyrimidine ring makes a dihedral angle of 75.89 $(8)^{\circ}$ with the phenyl ring in molecule A, the corresponding angle in molecule B being 69.96 (8). These values are in the range reported for TMP monobenzoate (Giuseppetti et al., 1984) and TMP formate (Umadevi & Muthiah, 1994).

Two kinds of TMP-pairing have been observed in this crystal structure. One TMPH cation is paired about the inversion centre through a pair of N2-H···N3 hydrogen bonds whereas the other TMPH cations (atom labels with suffix A) are paired about an inversion centre through a pair of N4A-H···N3A hydrogen bonds. The former mode of pairing has been already observed in TMP hydroxybenzoate dihydrate (Robert & Muthiah, 2001) and the latter mode has been noted in trimethoprim hydrogen maleate (Prabakaran *et al.*, 2001). These pairs are further bridged by a network of hydrogen bonds involving water molecules and the sulfate ion. All of the sulfate-O atoms are involved in hydrogen bonds with water molecules through the 2-amino groups, reminiscent of TMP-



Figure 1

ORTEP diagram of the asymmetric unit of (I) showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

DHFR complexes. A view of the crystal packing is shown in Fig. 2.

Experimental

Trimethoprim sulfate trihydrate was prepared by dissolving trimethoprim (obtained as a gift from Shilpa Antibiotics Ltd) in hot methanol, followed by addition of dilute sulfuric acid. On cooling, colourless crystals were formed.

Crystal data

$2C_{14}H_{19}N_4O_3^{+} \cdot SO_4^{-} \cdot 3H_2O$ $M_r = 732.78$ Monoclinic, $P_{2_1/c}$ $a = 7.229 (1) \text{ Å}$ $b = 23.242 (1) \text{ Å}$ $c = 20.373 (1) \text{ Å}$ $\beta = 98.59 (1)^{\circ}$	$D_x = 1.438 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 35 reflections $\theta = 3-70.8^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.17 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K
$V = 3384.6 (5) Å^3$ Z = 4	Needle, colourless $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm
Data collection	
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer ν -2 θ scans Absorption correction: none 6445 measured reflections 5951 independent reflections 5134 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.014$	$\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 8$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 27$ $l = -24 \rightarrow 23$ 4 standard reflections frequency: 60 min intensity decay: negligible
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$ $vR(F^2) = 0.092$ S = 1.06 577 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0402P)^{2} + 1.9477P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.40 \text{ e}^{\Lambda^{-3}}$ $\Delta o = -0.34 \text{ e}^{\Lambda^{-3}}$

Acta Cryst. (2001). E57, o1179-o1182



Figure 2 Hydrogen-bonding patterns of trimethoprim sulfate trihydrate

Table	1
-------	---

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

S1-O9	1.4684 (15)	O5A-C16A	1.420 (2)
S1-O6	1.4812 (15)	O5A - C12A	1.365 (2)
S1-O7	1.4494 (15)	N1-C6	1.357 (3)
S1-O8	1.4716 (16)	N1-C2	1.346 (2)
O3-C14	1.439 (3)	N2-C2	1.334 (3)
O3-C10	1.372 (2)	N3-C2	1.333 (2)
O4-C11	1.381 (2)	N3-C4	1.346 (2)
O4-C15	1.439 (3)	N4-C4	1.327 (2)
O5-C12	1.372 (2)	N1A - C2A	1.358 (2)
O5-C16	1.428 (2)	N1A - C6A	1.357 (2)
O3A-C14A	1.423 (3)	N2A - C2A	1.322 (2)
O3A-C10A	1.364 (2)	N3A - C4A	1.350 (2)
O4A-C15A	1.428 (3)	N3A - C2A	1.334 (2)
O4A-C11A	1.384 (2)	N4A - C4A	1.326 (2)
O6-S1-O7	109.54 (8)	N4-C4-C5	121.42 (16
O6-S1-O8	108.17 (9)	N1-C6-C5	122.09 (18
O6-S1-O9	108.47 (8)	O3-C10-C11	116.06 (16
O7-S1-O8	111.07 (9)	O3-C10-C9	123.42 (16
O7-S1-O9	110.55 (8)	O4-C11-C12	120.96 (15
O8-S1-O9	108.97 (9)	O4-C11-C10	119.77 (15
C10-O3-C14	117.31 (16)	O5-C12-C13	124.72 (16
C11-O4-C15	113.10 (14)	O5-C12-C11	115.02 (15
C12-O5-C16	117.19 (15)	N2A - C2A - N3A	120.83 (16
C10A-O3A-C14A	117.13 (16)	N1A - C2A - N3A	121.77 (15
C11A-O4A-C15A	113.94 (15)	N1A - C2A - N2A	117.40 (16
C12A-O5A-C16A	116.72 (15)	N4A-C4A-C5A	121.58 (15
C2-N1-C6	119.88 (16)	N3A-C4A-C5A	121.89 (14
C2-N3-C4	118.48 (16)	N3A - C4A - N4A	116.52 (14
C2A - N1A - C6A	120.30 (15)	N1A - C6A - C5A	121.56 (18
C2A - N3A - C4A	118.43 (14)	O3A-C10A-C11A	115.06 (16
N2-C2-N3	118.93 (18)	O3A-C10A-C9A	124.56 (16
N1-C2-N3	122.17 (18)	O4A-C11A-C12A	119.70 (16
N1-C2-N2	118.90 (16)	O4A-C11A-C10A	120.62 (16
N3-C4-C5	122.04 (15)	O5A-C12A-C11A	115.29 (16
N3-C4-N4	116.55 (16)	O5A-C12A-C13A	124.71 (15

Table 2		
Hydrogen-bonding geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1 - H1 \cdots O6^i$	0.86 (2)	1.80 (2)	2.654 (2)	172 (2)
$O1'-H1'\cdots O3'$	0.88 (2)	1.87 (2)	2.737 (2)	170.3 (18)
$O1'-H2'\cdots O6^{ii}$	0.91 (3)	2.42 (3)	3.121 (2)	133 (3)
$O1' - H2' \cdots O8^{ii}$	0.91 (3)	1.90 (3)	2.781 (2)	160 (3)
O2'-H3'···O9	0.72 (3)	2.33 (3)	3.045 (2)	169 (3)
$O2' - H4' \cdots O8^{iii}$	0.89 (3)	2.03 (3)	2.900 (3)	167 (3)
O3'-H5'···O3	1.02 (4)	1.83 (4)	2.828 (2)	166 (3)
$O3' - H6' \cdots O4A^{iv}$	1.05 (5)	1.76 (5)	2.778 (2)	162 (4)
$N2A - H8 \cdot \cdot \cdot O1'^{v}$	0.83 (2)	2.06 (2)	2.818 (3)	152 (2)
$N4-H21\cdots O2'^{iv}$	0.87 (3)	2.15 (3)	2.974 (3)	157 (2)
$N4A - H22 \cdots N3A^{vi}$	0.91 (2)	2.25 (2)	3.155 (2)	173.1 (18)
$N2A - H23 \cdots O9^{vii}$	0.92 (3)	1.96 (3)	2.826 (2)	156 (2)
$N4A - H27 \cdots O8^{iii}$	0.90(2)	2.24 (2)	3.105 (2)	161 (2)
$N4-H30\cdots O7$	0.88 (2)	2.00 (2)	2.826 (2)	155 (2)
$N1A - H37 \cdots O1'^{v}$	0.85 (2)	2.00 (2)	2.778 (2)	152 (2)
$N1A - H37 \cdots O4^{v}$	0.85 (2)	2.58 (2)	3.090 (2)	120.1 (19)
$N2-H40\cdots N3^{viii}$	0.86 (2)	2.23 (2)	3.080 (2)	176 (2)
$N2-H41\cdots O2'^{i}$	0.85(2)	2.33 (2)	3.038 (3)	140 (2)
$C7A - H3 \cdots O8^{iii}$	0.96 (2)	2.38 (2)	3.295 (3)	158.5 (17)
$C6A - H7 \cdots O4^{v}$	0.95 (2)	2.51 (2)	3.131 (2)	123.2 (14)
$C6A - H7 \cdots O5^{v}$	0.95 (2)	2.46 (2)	3.363 (2)	158.3 (17)
C9-H15···O7	0.93 (2)	2.31 (2)	3.131 (2)	146.7 (18)
$C15-H33\cdots O3A^{iv}$	0.97 (2)	2.59 (2)	3.537 (3)	167 (2)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Symmetry codes: (i) } -x, 1-y, 1-z; (ii) \\ (v) & x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}; \\ (vi) & 2-x, 1-y, -z; \\ (vii) & 1-x, 1-y, -z; \\ (viii) & 1-x, 1-y, -z; \\ (viii) \end{array}$

H atoms were located from difference Fourier maps and their coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters were refined.

Data collection: *MolEN* (Fair, 1990); cell refinement: *MolEN*; data reduction: *MolEN*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP* (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 1997).

One of the authors (BU) thanks the Directorate General of Health services, Ministry of Health, India for a Scholarship. PTM is a recipient of UGC career award 1994.

References

Bettinetti, G. & Sardone, N. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 594-597.

Bettinetti, G. P., Giordano, F., La Manna, A., Giuseppetti, G. & Tadini, C. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 1249–1253.

- Bryan, R. F., Haltiwanger, R. C. & Woode, M. K. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 2412–2415.
- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Feeney, J. (2000), Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 39, 291-312.
- Giuseppetti, G., Tadini, C., Bettinetti, G. P., Giordano, F. & La Manna, A. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 650–653.
- Jacobson, B. L. & Quiocho, F. A. (1988). J. Mol. Biol. 204, 783-787.

Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

Koetzle, T. F. & Williams, G. J. B. (1976). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 2074–2078.

- Murugesan, S. & Muthiah, P. T. (1996). Academy Discussion Meeting on Frontiers in Structural Chemistry, IIT, Chennai, India, Abstract No. 3.4.
- Murugesan, S. & Muthiah, P. T. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 763–764.
- Muthiah, P. T. & Robert, J. J. (1999). J. Chem. Cryst. 29, 223-226.

Onoda, A., Yamada, Y., Doi, M., Okamura, T. & Ueyama, N. (2001). Inorg. Chem. 40, 516–521.

- Pflugrath, J. W. & Quiocho, F. A. (1985). Nature (London), 314, 257–260.
- Pflugrath, J. W. & Quiocho, F. A. (1988). J. Mol. Biol. 200, 163-180.
- Prabakaran, P., Robert, J. J., Muthiah, P. T., Bocelli, G. & Righi, L. (2001). Acta Cryst. C57, 459–461.
- Robert, J. J. & Muthiah, P. T. (2001). In preparation.
- Sardone, N., Bettinetti, G. & Sorrenti, M. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 1295-1299.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

- Spek, A. L. (1997). PLATON. Utrecht University, The Netherlands.
- Umadevi, B. & Muthiah, P. T. (1994). 4th Eurasia Conference on Chemical Science, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Abstracts, p. 74.
- Umadevi, B. & Muthiah, P. T. (2001). In preparation.